1 2	GARY M. RESTAINO United States Attorney District of Arizona		
3	KEVIN M. RAPP		
4	Arizona Bar No. 014249 Kevin.Rapp@usdoj.gov COLEEN P. SCHOCH Georgia State Bar No. 366545		
5			
6	Coleen.Schoch@usdoj.gov Assistant U.S. Attorneys		
7	Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central Ave., Suite 1200		
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: 602-514-7500		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
12		 	
13	United States of America,	No. CR-19-00898-PHX-DLR	
14	Plaintiff,		
15	VS.	SUPPLMENTAL PROPOSED MODIFIED JURY INSTRUCTION	
16	David Allen Harbour,	1.2	
17			
1 /	Defendant.		
18	Defendant. Pursuant to the Court's Order the Uni	ted States is submitting modified Jury	
		ted States is submitting modified Jury	
18 19	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Uni	ted States is submitting modified Jury	
18 19 20	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Uni		
18 19 20 21	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Unit Instruction 1.2. 1.2 The Charge—Presumption of Innoc		
18	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Unit Instruction 1.2. 1.2 The Charge—Presumption of Innoc	cence nited States government. The government	
18 19 20 21 22	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Unit Instruction 1.2. 1.2 The Charge—Presumption of Innoc This is a criminal case brought by the Unit Instruction 1.2.	cence nited States government. The government Fraud; Counts 11-12, Mail Fraud; and	
18 19 20 21 22 23	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Unit Instruction 1.2. 1.2 The Charge—Presumption of Innoc This is a criminal case brought by the Unit Charges the defendant with Counts 1-10, Wire	cence nited States government. The government Fraud; Counts 11-12, Mail Fraud; and ng;. The second superseding indictment	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Unit Instruction 1.2. 1.2 The Charge—Presumption of Innoc This is a criminal case brought by the Unit charges the defendant with Counts 1-10, Wire Counts 13-23, Transactional Money Launderin	cence nited States government. The government Fraud; Counts 11-12, Mail Fraud; and ng;. The second superseding indictment brings against the defendant. The second	
118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225	Pursuant to the Court's Order the Unit Instruction 1.2. 1.2 The Charge—Presumption of Innoc This is a criminal case brought by the Unit charges the defendant with Counts 1-10, Wire Counts 13-23, Transactional Money Launderin simply describes the charges the government by superseding indictment is not evidence and does	cence nited States government. The government Fraud; Counts 11-12, Mail Fraud; and ng;. The second superseding indictment brings against the defendant. The second	

1 2

2 3

4

5

67

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

1516

1718

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

addition, the defendant has the right to remain silent and never has to prove innocence or present any evidence.

To help you follow the evidence, I will now give you a brief summary of the elements of the crimes that the government must prove to make its case:

I. Wire Fraud

First, the defendant, David Allen Harbour knowingly devised or intended to devise a scheme or plan to defraud investors, or a scheme or plan for obtaining money or property from investors by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises to investors, or omitted facts. Deceitful statements of half-truths may constitute false or fraudulent representations;

Second, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud, that is, the intent to deceive and cheat;

Third, the statements made or facts omitted as part of the scheme were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or property; and

Fourth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, an interstate or foreign wire communication to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

II. Mail Fraud

First, the defendant, David Allen Harbour knowingly participated in a scheme or plan to defraud, or a scheme or plan for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, the statements made or facts omitted as part of the scheme were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or property;

Third, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that is, the intent to deceive or cheat; and

1	Fourth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mail to carry out or attempt to	
2	carry out an]essential part of the scheme.	
3		
4	III. Transactional Money Laundering	
5	First, the defendant, David Allen Harbour knowingly engaged or attempted to	
6	engage in a monetary transaction;	
7	Second, the defendant knew the transaction involved criminally derived property;	
8	Third, the property had a value greater than \$10,000;	
9	Fourth, the property was, in fact, derived from Wire or Mail Fraud; and	
10	Fifth, the transaction occurred in the United States.	
11	The term "monetary transaction" means the deposit, withdrawal, transfer exchange,	
12	in or affecting interstate commerce, of funds or a monetary instrument by, through, or to a	
13	financial institution.	
14		
15	Respectfully submitted this 9th day of January, 2023.	
16	GARY M. RESTAINO	
17	United States Attorney District of Arizona	
18	s/Coleen P. Schoch	
19	<u>S/ Kevin M. Rapp</u> KEVIN M. RAPP	
20	COLEEN P. SCHOCH Assistant U.S. Attorney	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 9th day of January, 2023, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants s/Daniel Parke U.S. Attorney's Office